

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/026,620	Choi et al.
	Examiner Rafael Perez-Gutierrez	Art Unit 2686

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Rafael Perez-Gutierrez.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Yoon S. Ham.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 June 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*1 and 2*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**


**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Mr. Ham to indicate that the application is in condition for allowance except for a few minor informalities in figures 1 and 2 and in claims 1 and 2. After discussing the informalities with Mr. Ham, it was agreed to correct them via an Examiner's amendment..